UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Nelson Lora-Pena,

Petitioner,

Criminal No. 05-47

vs.

Criminal Action No.05-47(KAJ)

United States of America,

Respondent.

PETITIONER'S REQUEST TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD PURSUANT TO THE FILED SECTION 2255 MOTION

NOW COMES, The Petitioner, Nelson Lora-Pena, acting <u>Pro</u>
<u>Se</u> (hereinafter "Mr. Lora-Pena"), pursuant to Federal Civil
Procedure Rules, Rule 15(a), moves to amendment the current §
2255 Motion base upon the followings:

A party may amend the party's pleading once as matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served or, if the pleading is one to which no responsive pleading is permitted and the action has not been placed upon the trial calendar, the party may so amend it at any time within 20 days after it is served. Otherwise a party may amend the party's pleading only by leave of court or by written consent of the adverse party, and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. See Rule 15 (a).

In support thereof, Mr. Lora-Pena relies upon the following:
On April 9, 2005, Mr. Lora-Pena was arrested, two days
thereafter, a Criminal Complaint was sworn to by a United States
Marshal before United States Magistrate Judge Mary Pat Thynge. On
that same day, Mr. Lora-Pena had an initial appearance hearing
before Judge Thynge. Pursuant to a motion for the detention by the
Government, Petitioner was detained pending a detention hearing
scheduled for April 20, 2005. Mr. Lora-Pena remained in custody
after the subsequent detention hearing.

On May 12, 2005, The Grand Jury for the District of Delaware returned a four-count felony indictment, charing Mr. Lora-Pena with assaulting a Federal Officer, in violation of 18 U.S.C. #111 (a)(1) and (b) (Counts One through Three), and resisting arrest, in violation of 18 U.S.C. #111(a)(1) (Count Four).

Mr. Lora-Pena pled not guilty. Subsequently, a jury was impanelled, then returned a guilty verdict against Mr. Lora-Pena.

The District Court ultimately sentenced Mr. Lora-Pena to 84 months imprisonment.

PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT

THE COMBINED EFFECT OF THE PROSECUTION'S FAILURE
TO DISCLOSE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE RELATING TO A LAW ENFORCEMENT
WITNESS AND ITS FAILURE TO CORRECT THE WITNESS'S FALSE TESTIMONY
REGARDING PETITIONER GAINING ACCESS TO THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENT'S
FIREARM AND FIRED A SHOT.

Petitioner contends that the Prosecutor had purposefully suppressed exculpatory evidence from the defense, and then capitalized on said evidence during trial. Specifically, it was alleged that on April 9, 2005, members of The United States Marshal Service Fugitive

Task Force served an arrest warrant on Mr. Lora-Pena at his residence located at 4 Dunbar Road, Newark, Delaware. During this period, it was alleged that after seeing the Marshals, that Mr. Lora-Pena fled through the rear end of the house. He was persued. That is when a confrontation between the Marshals and Mr. Lora Pena ensued.

According to the Government's witness, as Mr. Lora-Pena fought the Marshals, he grabbed at several Marshals, in an apparent attempt to obtain their weapons. When Mr. Lora-Pena grabbed at one of the Marshal's shot gun, he caused it to discharge. The bullet when through the front door and into the landing. It barely missed a police officer at the scene. As a result of the incident, Mr. Lora-Pena inflicted minor lacerations to a Marshals hand, while he suffered multiple contusions and a broken eye socket.

However, contrary to the Prosecutor's case at trial, Mr. Lora-Pena did not--at no time at all--possessed the Marshals' gun, nor did Mr. Lora-Pena discharged the Marshals gun. In fact, two law enforcement officers have corroborated Mr. Lora-Pena's position that he did not grabbed ahold of the Marshals' gun, muchless to dischared it. Indeed, in accordance with the Delaware State Police Troop Two Criminal Investigations Unit (fax sheet), "...duirng a lenghty struggle with the fugitive, a firearm was accidentally dischaged by a <u>U.S. Marshal</u> --causing no injury". (See Attachment 'A'').

By not disclosing this exculpatory evidence to the court and jury, the trial prosecutor had violated Mr. Lora-Pena's constitutional duty under <u>Brady v. Maryland</u>, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963). In <u>Brady</u>, the Supreme Court mandates that prosecutors do have a duty to disclose all evidence in their position pertaining to a defendant. Moreover,

the Government's duty under <u>Brady</u> to disclose favorable evidence derives from a previously recognized constitutional obligation of the prosecutor to correct false testimony. <u>See</u>, e.g., <u>United States v. Bagley</u>, 473 U.S. 667, 679 N.8 (1985). In <u>Mooney v. Holhan</u>, 294 U.S. 103, 112 (1935), The Supreme Court held that the knowing use of perjured testimony by the prosecutor violates due process.

Subsequent Supreme Court decisions extended Mooney, which involved the knowing and intentional use of perjured testimony that related directly to the defendant's commission of the charged offense, to require a prosecutor to correct false testimony relating to a witness's credibility. In Napue v. Illinois, 360 U.S. 264, 269-70 (1959), a prosecution witness stated, in response to a question by the defense counsel, that he had not received any promise of lenient treatment by the Government in return for his testimony. Although the prosecutor had in fact made such a promise to the witness, prosecutor did not correct the false testimony. In holding that the defendant's conviction was obtained in violation of due process, the court noted that "'THe principle that a state may not knowingly use false evidence, including false testimony, to obtain a tainted conviction, .. does not cease to apply merely because the false testimony goes to credibility of the witness. The jury's estimate of the truthfulness and reliability of a given witness may well be determinative of guilty or innocence...' Id. at 269.

In the case at bar, the prosecutor knew (or should have known) that its' law enforcement witness was lying when he testified that Mr. Lora-Pena had grabbed the Marshal's gun and discharged it. The truth of the matter is that Mr. Lora-Pena did not touched the Mar-

shal's gun period, muchless to have discharged the thing. Furthermore, ther was absolutely no fingerprint, residue, nor one iota of evidence that Mr. Lora-Pena had ever touched the Marshal's gun. As such, the prosecutor had violated Mr. Lora-Pena's Sixth Amendment Right to Fair Trial.

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing and the fact that as a result of the allegation that Mr. Lora-Pena's base offense level by 5 points; thus, Mr. Lora-Pena rspectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter an ORDER dismissing the 5 points enhancement on Mr. Lora-Pena's base offense level. Alternatively, Mr. Lora-Pena requests a New and Fair Trial.

Executed this 20 day of $\cancel{\cancel{F2C}}$, 2007.

cc.
Filed:
April Byrd
U.S. Attorney's Office
The Nemours Building
1007 Orange Street, Suite 700
P.O. Box 2046
Wilmington, DE 19899-2046

Respectfully Submitted,

Nelson Lora-Pena, Pro Se

Reg. No.: 03883-070

USP-Canaan

Post Office Box 300

Waymart, Pennsylvania 18472

^{*} This petition was prepared by Shechem Lafayette, a former Law Clerk, Law Instructor and curent Student at Abraham Lincoln University School of Law.

EXHIBIT "A"



Delaware State Police Troop Two



Criminal Investigations Unit

U.S. Marshal, To:

Det. From:

04-12-05 Date:

1030 hours. Time:

<u>Assist U.S.M.S.</u> Subject:

Pages w/cover:

FAX PHONE NUMBER; 1-302-573-6218

****Attached is a DSP Report for the assist DSP provided on Sat. 04-09-05. This report is classified, and is restricted in DSP's report system. Any questions, please call me. Thank you.

Delaware State Police Troop 2

Criminal Investigations Unit

100 LaGrange Avenue, Newark, Delaware 19702

(O) 302-834-2620 x (F) 302-834-2647 (P)

@state.de.us) (mailto:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this facsimile transmission for the Delaware State Police, Troop 2, Criminal lavestigative Unit (C.I.U.) may be privileged and confidential and is intended for the sole use of the persons or entitles named on this transmittal cover sheet. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, the dissemination, distribution, copying or use of the information it contains is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, nlesse call the sender immediately to arrange for the return of this information.

U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service Page 1 of 2



REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

1. CASE # (WIN)	2. DATE(S) OF INVESTIGATION	3. REPORTED .
15.7956 -	04/09/2005	BY: Jack Leo
4. CASE TITLE Lora-Pena, Nelson	;	AT: Wilmington, De
5. OTHER PERSONNEL	•.	
USM Thomas, SDUSM	Denney, DUSM David, TFO Fletcher, TFO	Daily, TFO Bowers, TFO Hahn
6. TYPE OF REPORT	Arrest	
	Allest	· .

Nelson Lora-PENA (PENA) was wanted by the United States Marshals Service (USMS) for a violation of Supervised Release Conditions; the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement for being Illegally in the United States and by the State of Rhode Island for Distribution of Heroin. He is also a known member of the Latin Kings Street Gang.

On April 9, 2005 at approximately 14:30, subsequent to conducting surveillance that produced a positive identification of the above mentioned fugitive, the above listed officers of the USMS Fugitive Task Force served an arrest warrant on PENA. Upon approaching the front of the residence PENA attempted to release two erocious Pit Bull Terriors in an apparent effort to harm DUSM Leo and DUSM David. DUSM Leo subsequently readied an AR-15 rifle to hot standby (chambered round, safety on) in preparation to defend the personnel in the area against the dogs. Immediately thereafter, DUSM Leo and DUSM David observed PENA running through the house in an attempt to flee through the rear of the home. Upon attempting to escape, the rear cover officers consisting of SDUM Denney and USM Thomas pursued PENA into the residence and came under attack from both the dogs and PENA. In response DUSM David and DUSM LEO entered the residence through the front entrance. Upon their entrance into the residence, DUSM Leo and DUSM David encountered PENA attempting to flee from SDUSM Denney and USM Thomas and subsequently also came under attack. During the struggle PENA attempted to disarm DUSM Leo and subsequently discharged the weapon. In his attempt to gain control of the weapon, PENA grabbed DUSM Leo's hand in a manner that resulted in lacerations to DUSM Leo's hand apparently inflicted by PENA's fingernails. Photographs of the wounds were taken at the scene by USM Thomas. At the time of the discharge the weapon was pointed in a downward direction and away from all people at the scene.

The Delaware State Police evidence collection unit processed the scene and determined that the bullet had

7. SIGNATURE	8. DATE	11. DISTRIBUTION:
	4/11/05	
Jack Leo, DUSM Jan Jak	·	:
9. APPROVED (Name & Ting)	10. DATE	
and you	4/11/05	000021
R. Douglas Denney, SDUSM		0,00021
		EODM TONG 11 OPEN COANS

FORM USM-11 (REV: 7/29/97)

Wilmington.	DE 19801					
Reporting Person?	Victim injured?	Victim Deceased?	Officer Comments			
i∏Yes (XVo	☐Yes QX%	☐Yes ☐X240		landa -		
Injuries		Description	of Injuries			
			Crimes and	Associated Information		
Victim Number Crit			Crime Description Miscellaneous Invest	igation, Industrial Accident, Lost F	roperty	
Location Of Offense Scatus Residence/Home/Garage Service O		Clear 04/09/2005	Involvement Alcohol Drugs Computer	General Offense		
Suspected Hate/Bla		Miscellaneous Inv	estigation			
Burglary Force Invo				Marie de la casa de la		
			Inmost	icativa Namativa		

Investigative Narrative of DSP Troop 2, Major Crimes Unit.

Original report by Det.

Location

062-346

Victim Number

001

Address

Type

On Saturday, 04-09-05, at approximately 1600 hours, I was contacted by Sgt. reference assistance being requested of the U.S. Marshals Service. Sqt. advised me that U.S. Marshal's took a Fugitive of nine years into custody, however, during a lengthy struggle with the Fugitive, a firearm was accidentally discharged by a t.S.
Marshal----causing no injury. The location of this incident, was the current residence of the Fugitive: at 4 Dunbar Rd, Newark, De (Development of Red Mill Farms).

On Saturday, 04-09-05, at approximately 1621 hours, both Sgt. and responded to the scene.

On Saturday, 04-09-05, at approximately 1643 hours, I responded to the scene. pon my arrival I contacted Supervisory Deputy Marshal, See below synopsis for details of this incident. I was informed by SDM that his agency (U.S. Marshal's Service) will conduct their own internal investigation. Additionally, SDM advised me that all persons on scene will right their own respective narratives on this incident. SDM requested DSP assistance in assuring the scene integrity of this incident, via DSP Crime Report. SDM further requested DSP assistance with evidence collection, and the locating of the bullet from the AR 15 Assault Rifle that was fired in this incident.

NOTE: This report was generated at the request of the U.S. Marshal for the State of Delaware. The capacity of DSP was to ensure scene integrity, and assist in evidence collection. This report will not determine or investigate the issue of the AR 15 being accidentally discharged. For all matters in regards to the investigation of the Fugitive himself, the actidental discharge of an AR 15 Assault Rifle, and the internal investigation resulting from the

Reporting Officer

Pending Supervisory Raylew:

Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 51 Filed	12/26/2007 Page 10 of 13
Front Report Date: Agency:	Complaint: 06-05-037192
Investigative Narrative - Contaccidental discharge, refer to; U.S. Marshal's reportFu 157956.	agitive Identification Number: FID:
classified means, on the location of a Fugitive of nine year (WMH DOB: 08-2-66). Fugitive Lora-Pena is wanted on a violance of eight persons (including Marshal persons), responded to 4 Dunbar Road, and surrounded the regitive was inside the residence). After gaining access the dogs, and other obstacles), SDM stated that his team of the residence, where a lengthy struggle began. According continued and moved-into the foyer/living room area of the	lation of federal pardle, and has an order. SDM stated that his personnel; Det. , and Det residence (after confirming the to the residence (eluding Pit Bull pursued the Fugitive into the bedrooming to SDM this struggle
Once at the foyer/living room area of the residence, the strugitive managed to grab a hold of several U.S. Marshals by U.S. Deputy Marshal was assigned a AR 15 Assault BF14229928). DM was among the U.S. Marshal's strugged attempt to assist in the arrest, the Fugitive grabbed to also proceed to the ground. At this point, one residence and into the concrete pad, outside the front door and was not recovered. No persons (Law Enforcement, Fugitive grabbed and was not recovered discharge. (note; again, the accidence investigated by DSP. Refer to narrative by D.M. FID:157956)****END****	ringing several of them to the ground. Rifle, (model number XM15-E2S, serial gling with the Fugitive. During DM da hold of him causing him causing him causing him causing the tom of the front door of the cound disintegrated on impact, ye, or citizens) were injured as a lental discharge event will not be
FUGITIVE INFORMATION: Nelson Lora-Pena, WMH DOB: 08-02-66,	,
Hair: Black, Current Address; 4 Dunbar Road, Newark, De. 19 #119230HA9, OCA #A014842371.	
WEAPON INFORMATION: Bushmaster, XM-15 E2S; Caliber .223 Rem Operated Semi-Automatic. Serial #BF14229928.	n. (5.56mm), Mode of operation; Gas
Supplement pending from Det. (Evidence Detection Un	nit).
See also U.S. Marshal's Report, FID:157956.	
A copy of this report to be fax'ed to the U.S. Marshal Serv	vice in Delaware on Monday, 04-11-05.
A copy of U.S. Marshal's Report, FID:157956 to be fax'ed to	o me, and attached to this report.
This report is classified "restricted", due to investigative Service, and at the request of Supervisory Deputy Marshal,	ve techniques of the V.S. Marshal's

Any and all media notification to be prepared and conducted by the U.S. Marshal's Service, of

Pending Supervisory Review:

Reporting Officer

Case 1:05-cr-00047-JJF Document 51 - - Filed 12/26/2007 Page 11 of 13 HUE 04 T. OP 2 STATE POLICE 06-05-0371 04/09/2005 Investigative Narrative - Continued Wilmington, Delaware. DSP Troop 2 Administration and Executive Staff notified by Sgt. and by email from me. of DSP Troop 2 Major Crimes notified by me on Saturday, 04-09-05 CASE CLOSED --- SERVICE CLEAR Reporting Officer Pending Supervisory Reviews DET Desective Notified Referred To Solvability Factors ☐ Witness □ M. O. (1) Trace Stolen Property C. Suspect Named Suspect Vehicle Identified Closed D Suspect Located Suspect Described Suspect Identified



Enclosed with this report are photos of LORA, their records, and warrants.

LORA has never shown violence, but is a major heron dealer. LORA

